

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/806,333             | UEDA ET AL.         |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Edward Martello        | 2628                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Edward Martello.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(3) Joseph W. Iskra, # 57,485.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 May 2009

**Time:** 11:00

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 & 26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 1- Removing text in parenthesis in line 10 of the claim. Claim 26 - Changing the 11<sup>th</sup> line of the claim to read "the selected parameter kit, on a computing unit for performing said mesh dividing process; and...*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/XIAO M. WU/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)